Site: SRS
Break: 10.4
Other: 580338

0006318

RECEIVED

1501 1107 20 PH 2: 34

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

U.S. ATTERMET'S OFFICE MER MAYER, COMMECTION

FOR THE DISTRICT OF CONNECTICUT

SDMS DocID

550338

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

- and -

CONNECTICUT FUND FOR THE ENVIRONMENT, INC.: SOUTHINGTON CITIZENS ACTION GROUP: EDWARD AVERY; JOAN BRADLEY; EDWINA LUDECKE; GLADYS LANGTON,

Intervening Plaintiffs,

- and -

BOARD OF WATER COMMISSIONERS FOR THE TOWN OF SOUTHINGTON,

Intervening Plaintiff,

- versus -

SOLVENTS RECOVERY SERVICE OF NEW ENGLAND,

Defendant.

: Civil Action

No. H 79~704

Continuing deposition of ULISSE F. MARINI taken pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure at the law offices of the United States

W 3 8

Attorney, 270 Orange Street. New Haven, Connecticut before Jane E. Mikos, a Notary Public within the and for the State of Connecticut, on Wednesday, October 14, 1981 commencing at 10:22 a.m.

000

APPEARANCES:

FRANK SANTORO, ESQUIRE
Attorney for the Plaintiff
U.S. Department of Justice
270 Orange Street
New Haven, Connecticut

- and -

JOEL G. BLUMSTEIN, ESQUIRE
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Region 1
J. F. K. Federal Building
Boston, Massachusetts 02203

HOPPIN, CAREY & POWELL, ESQUIRES
Attorneys for the Intervening Plaintiffs
Connecticut Fund for the Environment, Inc.;
Southington Citizens Action Group; Edward
Avery; Joan Bradley; Edwira Ludecke; Gladys
Langton
266 Pearl Street
Hartford, Connecticut 06103
By: AUSTIN CAREY, JR., ESQUIRE, of Counsel

- and -

DAN MILLSTONE, ESQUIRE

Attorney for the Intervening Plaintiffs Connecticut Fund for the Environment, et al. 152 Temple Street New Haven, Connecticut 06510

KELLEY & CRISPINO, ESQUIRES

Attorneys for the Intervening Plaintiff Board of Water Commissioners for the Town of Southington
25 Berlin Avenue
Southington, Connecticut 06489
By: DAVID P. KELLEY, Esq., of Counsel

LOWENSTEIN, SANDLER, BROCHIN, KOHL, FISHER & BOYLAN, ESQUIRES

Attorneys for the Defendant 65 Livingston Avenue Roseland, New Jersey 07068 By: MARION PERCELL, Esq., of Counsel

000

ULISSE

. MARINI,

a witness, having been previously duly sworn, was

examined and testified further as follows:

called as

3

.

4

5 ∥

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

BY MR. BLUMSTEIN: (Continued)

DIRECT EXAMINATION

Mr. Marini, I'm Joel Blumstein with the United States Environmental Protection Agency in Boston and we're here to continue a deposition that was begun, I guess, it was last May.

If you have any questions about anything I ask, stop and let me know.

- A. Okay.
- 0. I'd like to start off by asking you a few followup questions to some things that were covered during the May deposition.

I want to start with a document that was introduced as Exhibit 3 at that point and I give you a copy.

I'd like you to look particularly at paragraph 3 -- well, first of all, do you recognize that document?

- A. Yes, I do.
- O. Okay. Paragraph three talks about, "It is recommended we replace one or two bases monthly with saddles on concrete slabs beginning with the wooden foundations."

Was that ever done?

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. Yes, it was	A.	Yes,	it	was
----------------	----	------	----	-----

- Q And when was that accomplished?
- A. Sometime in '79 and '80. We secured it over a two-year period.
 - Q The work itself, it was done in '79 and '80?
- A. Yes, in '79 and '80. Yes. The majority of the work.
- Would you explain to me why wasn't it done between November 15, 1976 and whenever it was begun in 1979?
- A I can't answer that. It was my recommendation at that time. I had just started with the company, a month with the company. I made those recommendations. I can't answer it.
- Q You don't know why they didn't follow through with that recommendation?
 - A I have no idea.
- Q Okay. On the fourth page of this November 15th document, item number 4 says, "Al and I will set up a program to improve housekeeping and safety."

What does that refer to? That's not referred to in the rest of this memo.

In particular, what housekeeping measures are you talking about that need to be implemented that aren't referred to in the rest of the document?

4	

5

٥

7

_

У

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

A An ongoing program we have set up and it's something we try to keep on top of, and improve the employees' techniques, their procedures. We had an OSHA listing where they pointed out certain items we should look at and I made an inspection at that time of the plant and just continued the program that was going on at that time.

- O Did you have in mind any type of drum or tank storage purposes in addition to what you discussed in the rest of the memo?
 - A. Can you point -- refer to something in here.
- Okay. I see in paragraph 3, for instance, you're talking about replacing tank foundations and in paragraph 7, you refer to reducing drum inventory.
 - A. Yes.
 - Anything else with regard to tanks or drums?
 - A. No.
- Q Okay. The next exhibit I'd like to go back to is Exhibit 2 that was introduced to you in May which is a diagram of the solvent facility in Southington.

At that time, there was some discussion of the trough that was marked E. I have a couple of questions about that trough.

As you previously testified, it had been covered over?

MS. PERCELL: If you know.

23

A All I can say is the State recommended it.

_-

Q You don't know what was behind the recommendation?

2425

A. No.

U.F. Marini to A.V. Tatro.)

25

BY MR. BLUMSTEIN:

3

Mould you take a look at that, please.

4

Do you recognize that document?

5

I recognize the person who it's from.

6

Q That's you?

7

A Yes.

8

Q On the fourth line it says, "Rating: 8." Did

9

you have a rating system for plant inspections that you

10

performed?

did.

11

A At that time, we set up a rating system, yes, we

12

-

Q Could you describe that rating system, what was

14

13

highest and lowest?

15 16

A Ten. One. One was lowest; ten was the highest.

17

Ten indicated an excellent result from the inspection?

18

A. Yes.

19

Q The last paragraph of the memo says, "Areas that need immediate attention are the tank farm, process and drum storage areas."

21

20

Could you explain what immediate attention was

2223

needed in each of those areas?

24

A. Immediate as the word indicates, immediately. As to what particular problem we had in that area, I can't

25

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

answer.	At	that	time	 it's	four	years.	It could	have
been dirty	v ai	loves	. rans					

- n Do you have any recollection of whether the immediate action was taken in response to your suggestion here to Mr. Tatro?
- A There was action taken but as I said, it's an ongoing-type of program and wasn't resolved or completed in a week or so. Something we did continually.
 - You said it wasn't completed within a week ---
- A I can't answer that. I don't know that. At that time, it was an outstanding problem we had. It's four years ago. I don't remember.
- 0 Do you have any recollection of the type -- of the general types of problems that existed, let's say, in the tank farm at that time?
 - A. Dirt, clutter.
 - Q What do you mean by dirt?
- A. Could be dirt as a general term, gloves, rags, probably loose gaskets.
 - Any spillage?
 - A. Could be. Could be.
- O Okay. The next document I'd like to show you is a June 3rd, 1977 memo from you to J. P. O'Connell.

MR. BLUMSTEIN: Mark that as an exhibit.

SANDERS, GALE & RUSSELL Certified Stenotype Reporters

HARTFORD, CONNECTICUT

4

5

6

7

Ω

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 . 23

24

25

(Plaintiff's Exhibit 13 for identification: Memo from U.F. Marini to
J. P. O'Connell, two pages, dated
June 3, 1977.)

BY MR. BLUMSTEIN:

- Q Have you had a chance to look at it?
- A. Briefly, yes.
- 0. Is that a document that you wrote?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. The first paragraph seems to be discussing work that the State of Connecticut suggests that you do or require that you do.

Could you tell me a little bit about it?

MS. PERCELL: That's a statement of assumption. You might want to ask the witness whether that's accurate.

MR. BLUMSTEIN: Let me restate it.

BY MR. BLUMSTEIN:

Q The first sentence, "Today we met with Mr. Ed Park and his associate, both of Connecticut DEP, to discuss and review construction of curbing the process area."

Were you at that time engaged in construction of curbing in the process area?

A. No. It was at the discussion stage.

Why not?

12

13

15

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

A. Why? The State -- right here in the last paragraph, "Mr. Parker indicated another order will be issued requiring additional curbing of the drum area."

At that time, we decided to wait and see what the second order would be, Phase II.

- Q It seems as though curbing the process area was part of the Phase I work?
 - A. Right.
- Q. The Phase I work wasn't done when the second order was issued?
- A. Phase I curbing -- the curbing in Phase I was not done.
- Q. Did the State rescind their order that they previously required curbing in the process area?
- A. There were many many meetings and talks between our attorneys and the State's and so on and I was not privy to that. I was not involved in those meetings.

The State issued Phase I and at that time, we agreed we would go along with Phase II and all of a sudden, they came back with Phase II and everything was halted, put on hold.

- So, the process area was never curbed?
- A. Never.
- Q Is that water continuing to run off as you

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

previously described?

- A. If we had heavy rainfalls, yes, it would.
- Q Looking at page 2, the last paragraph talks about Phase II work.

"Sometime before 10/31/77, another order will be issued requiring additional curbing (Phase II)."

"Phase II will consist of the following: curb drum storage area; reduce drum inventory; store all drums on concrete-additional slabs; increase size of sump and water storage capacity."

Do you know why that additional work was being contemplated to be included in a second State order?

- A. All I can say is this. You should ask Mr. Parker I don't know what the reason was behind the State of Connecticut.
 - Q. Was the drum storage area ever curbed?
 - A. No.
- Q. What advantage would be gained from curbing Solvent Recovery Services Drum Storage Area?

MS. PERCELL: Excuse me. Can I ask

if you're asking in the eyes of the State. What's

the advantage in the eyes of the State or what

advantage in the eyes of this witness?

25

24

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

. 1			BLUMSTEIN
' 1	7317	1470	ተንተ የሃህላም መመን ምእን
	1 15 Y	MK.	BLURGILLIN

- a In your opinion.
- A. I don't see any advantage. I see it puts a disadvantage, but I'm prejudice. Curbing the whole plant, collecting all the rainwater, you have a problem disposing of that. You see, I see no advantage.
 - Do you know what advantage the State saw?
- A. I don't think it's my right to answer for the State. If I give you my opinion, that's my opinion. I don't know what the State -- I have an idea but I don't think it's pertinent, this question. I think it's unfair to answer for the State, Mr. Parker and his group.
 - Q Okay. I won't press on that.

The next document is dated June 14, 1977. Notes-Talk with Mr. Edward Parker of the Water Pollution Department, DEP, State of Connecticut.

(Plaintiff's Exhibit 14 for identification: Notes dated June 14, 1977, one page.)

BY MR. BLUMSTEIN:

- Q Do you recognize these notes?
- A. Yes.
- Q Do you know who wrote them?
- A. No, I'm not sure. I've seen this before but I

8

10

11

12

13

15

14

16

17

٠,

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

don't know who wrote this. It could have been. Usually, I put my name on it unless something else was attached to this. I think that's what happened.

- Q That's all I saw --
- A Because there's a staple up here (indicating).
- Q Would you take a look at the third paragraph.

 The end of this paragraph seems to indicate there has been contamination of the top layer of earth over the years due to the spillage of hydrocarbons.

Tell me what area of the plant is being referred to there.

MS. PERCELL: Again, if you know.

The witness doesn't know who wrote it. He may very well not know what the author intended by that.

MR. BLUMSTEIN: He said he recognized it. BY THE WITNESS:

- A. Just the sentence, the area where the drums are stored. We have drums stored throughout the plant.
- Q Does that mean that the top layer had been contaminated on all those places where --
- A. No. No. A lot of concrete throughout the whole plant.

MR. MILLSTONE: Could you repeat that

7

8

9

BY MR. BLUMSTEIN:

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

again.

THE WITNESS: There's a lot of concrete throughout the whole plant that drums have been I really think this is unfair because I'm not sure I wrote this. I don't think I did. I doubt I had a meeting with I don't even know. him on June 14th.

- But you have seen this before?
- Vaguely, yes.
- Just talking about the contamination that's referred to in this. Do you know what the sources of contamination --

Objection. You haven't MS. PERCELL: laid a foundation there is any such contamination at all. You have to start with that question before you start asking questions about where it came from.

You have a document that is identified only by being recognized, not by its author or any other authentication and you have no support for the statements contained in it.

BY MR. BLUMSTEIN:

Was the top layer of earth or any portion of the

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Southington facility contaminated?

MS. PERCELL: Let me interject there that I'm not sure that you have established that this witness has any way of knowing whether the top layer of that earth is contaminated. You may want to explore that area but that may take access to information that this witness doesn't have or expertise that this witness doesn't have.

MR. BLUMSTEIN: I'm asking the witness whether he knows whether there's been any contaimination of the top layer of earth.

THE WITNESS: No. There's only an assumption here by Mr. Parker there was.

BY MR. BLUMSTEIN:

I would like to introduce a document dated January 9, 1978 from Mr. Marini to Mr. Tatro, subject: Northeast Plant Safety and Housekeeping Inspection.

> (Plaintiff's Exhibit 15 for identification: Two-page Memo dated January 9, 1978 from U.F. Marini to A.V. Tatro, subject: NE Plant Safety and Housekeeping Inspection.)

- Did you write this?
- Yes, I did.

4 5

7

8

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

21

23

2425

0. Is it correct that this is the result of the inspection you conducted on March 5, '78?

- A. No. January 5.
- Q Excuse me. January 5, '78.

I see that it indicates a rating of 3. Was the rating system used for purposes of this document the same as the previous document?

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q One to ten?
- A. One to ten, yes.
- Q You indicate that, "housekeeping in general is poor and has deteriorated from past inspections."

In what way had it deteriorated from past inspections?

- and generally more clutter, nuts and bolts throughout the plant, raincoats in the boiler room. Just plain old clutter, dirt -- not dirt. I hate to use that word -- scrap steel throughout the plant area, too many drums in the area. Just a general cleanup as I indicated in here.
- Q Is there a cause for it having deteriorated in the condition from the past May?
 - A cause? I would just say poor supervision.
 - Q Is there any evidence of spillage during this

3

4

5

Ŭ

Q

10

11

12

13

.14

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

January 5 inspection?

A After reading this memo, there's no indication at all in this memo and if there was, I would have indicated it.

Q On the fourth point, you list at the bottom of the first page, "Noticed too many drums not covered and sharp edges exposed."

Do you know if those drums were covered after your inspection?

A It's only an assumption. I would say they were.

I don't know if there's another inspection report dated

later than this one. It was instructed to do so, but I'm not

there but once a week. I can't answer that question.

- Q Do you remember whether they were covered over the last week?
 - I would have to say yes, they were.
- O. The next I have is a letter from Mr. Edward Babcock to Carleton H. Boll dated April 14, 1978.

(Plaintiff's Exhibit 16 for identification: three-page letter dated April 14, 1978 to Mr. Carleton H. Boll from Mr. Edward D. Babcock, Jr.)

BY MR. BLUMSTEIN:

A Have you had a chance to look through it?

Yes. A.

Have you ever seen this before? a

A. No.

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

.21

22

23

24

25

Yes. Yes.

If you could look at the second page under 76-6, there's a recommendation for "Diking for the tank farm should be improved to provide the capacity to contain

cent of the aggregate volume of the remaining tanks in the

100 percent of the volume of the largest tank plus 10 per-

farm."

Prior to April 14, 1978, did you ever considerdiking the tank farm as recommended here?

This recommendation is not valid at all because prior to this date, the tank farm was diked and properly diked for the regulations. It contained the largest tank plus 10 percent. I don't understand this recommendation at all.

You're saying that this diking had already been done previous to April '79?

Oh, yes. There before I ever started with the company.

The capacity at that time was 100 percent

Yes. A.

-- plus 10 percent --

SANDERS, GALE & RUSSELL Certified Stenotype Reporters

A.

.2	Q I'd like to show you another memo. This one is
3	dated June 2nd, '78 from you to Mr. Tatro, subject: Safety
4	and Housekeeping Inspection."
5	(Plaintiff's Exhibit 17 for identifi-
6	cation: One-page Memo dated June 2,
7	1978 from U.F. Marini to A.V. Tatro
8	subject: Safety and Housekeeping
9	Inspection.)
10	BY MR. BLUMSTEIN:
11	Q Have you had a chance to look at this?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q This is a document you wrote?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q That rating system that's indicated, that's the
16	same rating system, one to ten?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q Paragraph 6 says, "Several drums throughout the
19	plant not covered."
20	Was it your recollection that those uncovered
21	drums were the same uncovered drums that is referred to in
22	the January 9, '78 memo?
23	A Could be, except I said "throughout the plant"
24	this time and I remember last time it was in a certain area.

Was anything done other than the writing of this

25

11

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

24

23

25

memo to Mr. Tatro to assure that those drums were covered?

- A On future visits, I made inspections like this and if I noted the same type problem, I'd speak to Mr. Tatro privately to discuss the situation with him.
 - Q How often did you do the inspections?
- A I tried to get up there once a week. If I got up there once a week, I did an inspection once a week.
- Q Were the uncovered drums something you found regularly?
 - A At that time, yes.
- Q Did you write memos similar to this one to Mr. Tatro for every inspection that you performed?
 - A. No. No, sir. No.
- Q Why did you write a memo sometimes and not other times?
- A Oh, I felt that once in a while it's good to document the inspection and gives me a chance to followup to make sure he was performing -- rectifying the situation in the documentation.
- Q Do you know why drums continued to remain uncovered from week to week?
- A Poor education, possibly. Poor management of the drum situation. It was one of the problems we rectified and corrected.

5

7

O

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

21

23

24

25

Q.	When	did	it	get	rectified?
----	------	-----	----	-----	------------

A.	I would	say so	metime	after	these	insp	ecti	lons.
Continual	inspection	ons mad	e peopl	Le awar	e that	we a		
for that t	ype of de	eficien	cies.					

- 0. Do you have an approximate date when the uncovered drums --
- A No. It was an ongoing thing. It was a program we set up and followed and with a little help from the State also and we just continued on. Made it one of our topics that we just periodically did verbally with the people in the plant and I discussed it either in writing or verbally without Tatro.
- Q Do you know of any incident when you were with Solvents Recovery where an uncovered drum tipped over?
- A. I don't know of any personally. I haven't visually observed that type of problem but I have heard of -- possibly, I've heard of cases that that did happen.
 - Q Do you know how frequently those --
 - A. I can't answer that. I have no idea.
- Q In what way, other than drums tipping over, could the contains of that drum leave the drum, other than the intentional, when putting it into the processing system?
- A. If it's open and you have a rainfall, the drums could overflow.

•

5

7

8

Q

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2324

25

Q Have you ever heard of those type situations?

A. I have never heard of them, never seen it, but that's an assumption that can be made and a valid assumption that this could be the case.

Q The next document is a handwritten memo labeled "Northeast Plant Inspection - June 7, 1979" with the initials "UFM" at the bottom.

(Plaintiff's Exhibit 18 for identification: Memo dated June 7, 1979 entitled "NE Plant Inspection" and signed "UFM."

BY THE WITNESS:

A. Excuse me. You said Northeast. It doesn't mean Northeast. It's New England.

Q Excuse me.

A. You had me confused. This is the third time you said that. NE stands for New England.

1 stand corrected.

Do you recognize this document?

A. Yes.

Q Did you write it?

A I would say so. It's my handwriting.

At the time of this inspection, June 7, 1979, did you still use the rating system as you did for previous

inspections?

3

A Yes.

5

Do you know why there's no rating attached?

A I didn't give one. It's not in concrete that I have to give a rating. It's my own rating system just for

7

the New England plant and my inspections.

8

MS. PERCELL: If you'll excuse me

9

for the second time, I'd like to ask the witness

10

to give the questioner a chance to finish the

11

question before you answer. It makes it difficult

12

for the reporter if you interrupt because she has

13

to go back and forth between voices.

14

Make an effort to let him completely

15

finish what he has to say and it would be easier.

16

BY MR. BLUMSTEIN:

17

Q Paragraph 1 in this memo says, "Spill by #1

18

came from?

still."

itself?

19

Do you remember the nature of that spill, where it

20

A. No, sir.

21

Q Would that have been a spillage from the still

23

24

A I doubt it. From the still itself?

25

Yes.

•		• •		0000044	
2	A.	I doubt it.		0006344	·
3	Q.	But you're not	sure of the o	rigin of the	spill?
4	A.	No.			
5	o.	What was done	to clean up th	at spill?	
6	A.	Our procedure :	is to absorb t	he material	with sand
7	and shovel	it up and put :	it in drums.		
8	Q	Where were the	drums taken?	e de la companya de l	
9	A.	Landfills.			
10	Q.	Do you know who	ere the landfi	lls were?	
11	A.	I think in '79	we went to Ce	cos in Niaga	ra Falls.
12	At that ti	me, it was calle	ed Nuco. I'm	not sure of	the
13	different	names.			
14	Č.	If you take a l	look at paragr	aph 3, it sa	ıys,
15	"Sludge di	ke needs to be a	swept."	•	
16	A.	Right.			
17	Q.	Can you tell me	what was in	the sludge d	like that
18	needed to	be swept?			**************************************
19	A.	By reading this	s, dirt, leave	s, things li	ke that.
20	Q	Does the sludge	e dike receive	materials f	rom any
21	other proc	ess areas?			
22	A.	The sludge dike	is a dike ar	ound the sto	race
23	tank.				
24	Q.	Do the sludge of	likes ever cen	tain limid	materials
	A.	No.	-LICO CVOL COII	onen erdara	ma certai!
25	[] : •••		*		1.5.

stood the witness, he testified that he assumed they were using them. I don't know if you have fully laid a foundation for now assuming they were also using them at that particular time.

25

24

SANDERS, GALE & RUSSELL Certified Stenotype Reporters

664 PROSPECT AVENUE HARTFORD, CONNECTICUT

141 CHURCH STREET NEW HAVEN, CONNECTICUT

0006347	155
BY MR. BLUMSTEIN:	
() Have you ever heard of a company by the name of	n f
Jonas Waste Removal?	
A Yes.	
Q Did they ever perform any services	
A That I can't answer. I heard of the company's	
name.	
Q In what context have you heard of them?	
A. Just as a matter of talk.	
Q But you don't know whether they ever served	•
A. No. No idea at all.	
0 I'd like to introduce another document. At the	le
top it says, "Ace Environmental - waste & oil removal."	
(Plaintiff's Exhibit 19 for identifi-	
cation: thirteen-page document entitled	
"Ace Environmental.")	
BY MR. BLUMSTEIN:	
Q Have you had a chance to look at that?	
A. Yes.	
Q Do you recognize any of the pages in this docu	ment
A. The only thing I recognize is that it's our bi	.lls
of lading, Solvents Recovery Service of New England bills	

of lading.

25

But the particular bills of lading that are

SANDERS, GALE & RUSSELL Certified Stenotype Reporters

664 PROSPECT AVENUE HARTFORD, CONNECTICUT

2	attached, you haven't seen? 0006348
3	A. Not at all.
. 4	Q What appears to be a bill from Ace Environmental
5	on the first page, you have not seen that before?
6	A. No, I have not.
7	Q Okay. I believe you previously testified that
8	you are at least somewhat familiar with Ace Environmental,
9	is that correct?
10	A. I've heard of the outfit before, yes.
11	O. Do you know if Ace Environmental ever went by
12	any other names beside Ace Environmental?
13	A. To the best of my knowledge, the only one I know
14	about is Ace.
15	Q Have you heard of the Ace Septic Tank Service?
16	A. No. I assume one in the same. No, I have not.
17	Q Okay. On the first page and I realize you haven
18	seen that document before, but on the first page if you
19	could look at that.
20	It appears as though they are billing Solvents
21	Recovery Service for three different services.
- 22	Is that your understanding of what's on this
23	first page?
24	A. Three different entries in here, yes.
25	Q Stepping aside from that document for a moment,
İ	\blacksquare

SANDERS, GALE & RUSSELL Certified Stenotype Reporters

Let me get this straight. Is this water that was

664 PROSPECT AVENUE HARTFORD, CONNECTICUT

25

23

24

25

within	the	solvent	that's	brought	to	you	for	recycling?

- A. It's water that was in the recovered material after we flashed the material.
 - Q After flashing, a further step?
 - A. Yes.
- Q The recovery of reusable solvents is to remove the water?
 - A. Yes.
 - Any other types of waste water generated?
- A If there is free water on the material, insoluable—water that's not soluable, take the free water off.
- 0. At what point in the process would that free water --
- A. You get some free water coming overhead with the solvent.
- Q In what form would that waste water be disposed of?
- A. As it's indicated here, it would be in a bulk form.
 - Q What do you mean by bulk form?
 - A. Tanker, tank truck.
- Q Looking back to the first page of this exhibit, where it says "removed 1827 drums to dispose of at \$4.00 each," were those drums drums of sludge still bottom?

3

4

6

_

y

10

11

13

12

14

16

15

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

MS. PERCELL: If you know.

A. I can't answer. It's 1827 drums. I don't know what they're compiled of.

Q Did Ace dispose anything for Solvents Recovery, to your knowledge, other than drums of still bottoms and waste water, in particular?

I guess basically I'm trying to figure out what the third entry is on this page. It looks like "L.E.I. or I.E.I. removed."

A. I cannot answer that. I can't help you with that,
"I.E.I." I don't know what it stands for.

Q To your knowledge, did Ace remove anything from Solvents other than drums of sludge still bottoms and waste water?

A. And some sludge still bottoms that were not put into drums, in bulk form.

- Q In tankers?
- A. Tankers, yes.
- Any other form?
- A The only two forms I'm aware of, drums and bulk.
- A Looking at the bills of lading, each one seems to indicate that the destination was Bristol, Connecticut.

Were you aware that the material that Ace took went to Bristol, Connecticut?

)	

3

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

A I've heard from the people in the plant itself that it did go to Bristol, yes.

- Q Do you know where in Bristol it went to?
- A. No. No idea at all.
- Q So, your understanding was simply that it went to some place in the City of Bristol, Connecticut; in other words, a public outlet or private --
 - A Went to Bristol.
 - Dy Bristol, what do you mean?
 - A Bristol, Connecticut.
 - Some place in the City of Bristol?
 - A. Yes.

MR. BLUMSTEIN: I would now like to have three documents marked as exhibits. All are dated July 28, 1977.

The first is a letter from William D. Hegener to Solv, Incorporated.

The second is a memo by J. P. O'Connell of a conversation with Uly Marini.

And the third is a memo from J. P. O'Connell to C. J. Bown.

(Plaintiff's Exhibit 20 for identification: Four-page letter dated July

SANDERS, GALE & RUSSELL Certified Stenotype Reporters

28, 1977 from William D. Hegener to

on July 28, 1977 with Mr. O'Connell?

24

25

SANDERS, GALE & RUSSELL
Certified Stenotype Reporters

Going back to 21, do you remember a conversation

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. No, I do not.

On July 28, '77, do you know for a fact that the State of Connecticut had observed that Ace Environmental was discharging solvents from Solvents Recovery Service at a Bristol landfill?

> MS. PERCELL: I have to make an objection. I've heard the name Ace Environmental. You really haven't clarified that Ace Septic Tank and Ace Environmental are the same.

And the document in front of me says Ace Septic.

You can go ahead and answer the question.

BY THE WITNESS:

- I can't recall after reading this document here. It indicates I was told on that date but I don't recall.
 - You don't recall what?
- If on July 28, '77 I was aware that Ace, you A. said, Environmental was cut off.
- Did you become familiar with that situation at some point?
 - Yes.
 - About when was that?
 - I can't say.
 - Approximately around July 28th, '77?

0006355

2	A. It's an assumption. I would say yes, in that
3	area, the summer of '77.
4	O Do you know what a 25-54hh Permit is?;
. 5	MS. PERCELL: Can you indicate that
6	on one of these documents?
7	0 Exhibit 20, third paragraph, it says that "I
8	consider this to be inappropriate ultimate disposal and is
9	contrary to the conditions of the 25-54hh Permit issued to
10	Ace Environmental."
11	A. I can't answer that question because I wasn't
12	privy to permits issued to Ace Environmental. I've never
13	seen a permit issued to Ace Environmental.
14	Q Have you ever heard of the 25-54hh Permit?
15	A Yes, because we have one.
16	Q What's that permit?
17	A. It's a permit to operate.
18	0. To permit what?
19	A. Our plant as transporters, I think it is.
20	0. Do you know why the State of Connecticut stated
21	in Exhibit 20 that they consider this to be inappropriate
22	ultimate disposal?
23	A. I have no idea at all.
24	0. In looking at Exhibit 22 which is the one you
25	said you're familiar with, the first two sentences, "Effec

25

22

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

disposal business?

23

24

disposal business by J. P. O'Connell in writing this memo, is that what you're asking?

MS. PERCELL: What was meant by

25

SANDERS, GALE & RUSSELL Certified Stenotype Reporters

Þ

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

obviously a broad term and meant different things to different people at different times.

This witness may have an understanding of what J. P. O'Connell meant in that sentence and may have an understanding of what that phrase is. In asking the question, you should give some kind of --

MR. BLUMSTEIN: I'll rephrase it.

BY MR. BLUMSTEIN:

- Prior to July 28, 1977, did the term disposal business have some general understanding among Solvents' employees?
- A Disposal business is business that's brought in from a customer on a disposal basis. They don't want the material returned to them. They don't want their material recovered and shipped back to them. Take the material from that plant, remove it from that plant and take it to our plant.
 - Q For you to do whatever you wanted with it?
 - A. Right.
- 0 How would Ace be used in relation to your disposal business?
- A. Ace had nothing to do with the disposal business.

 They were not tied in together as such.

SANDERS, GALE & RUSSELL Certified Stenotype Reporters

5

7

6

10 11

12

13

14

1.5 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Is there, then, a relationship between the fact that you were cut off by Ace and Mr. O'Connell's statement that no disposal business would be taken into New England without his approval?

I don't know exactly what Mr. O'Connell had in But the material that was brought in, effective this date, was material that was pumpable and flammable, material that could be pumped and we can blend.

- In other words, you wouldn't accept disposal business which didn't consist of pumpable/flammable material?
 - Right. A.
- The sludge still bottoms and waste water resulted only from the disposal business?
 - No.
- How about after July 28, 1977, were sludge still bottoms and waste water still generated in Southington?
 - Can you reneat that question.
- After July 28th, 1977, was sludge still bottom and waste water still things that were generated at the Southington plant?
 - Yes.
- What was done with those materials after Ace was cut off?
 - The still bottoms were blended and pumped to a A.

tank,	blended	and	shipped	to	Solite	or	Keystone
-------	---------	-----	---------	----	--------	----	----------

How about the waste water?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A The waste water was -- the free water, clear water was returned back to the cooling tower at that time and the balance of the water taken down to New Jersey for treatment.

On page 2 of Exhibit 22 are a list of companies.

At the top there's a heading "Letters Sent To." Are those companies who Mr. O'Connell wanted informed that their material couldn't be accepted if it wasn't flammable and pumpable?

- A. I can't answer that. I don't know his reasoning and I don't know why this list was included.
- Q Do you know whether Solvents had dealings with companies listed on that second page after July 28, 1977?
 - A. Yes. Some of them, yes.
- 0 Am I correct that you don't know whether the companies on the second page is a list of the disposal customers?
- A. You asked me what was Mr. O'Connel's reasoning behind that. I don't know. Now you're asking me a different question.
 - 0 Let me ask the question again.

Are the list of companies on the second page disposal customers?

I can't

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

No. Some of them are Recovery customers. tell you which ones were disposal and which Recovery but I imagine certain ones are Recovery customers.

Is it possible that some of the companies had disposal business with Solvents?

- Possible. A.
- a You mentioned Keystone and Solite --
- Yes. A.
- -- as cement companies.

First of all, would you identify these companies, where they're located?

Keystone is in Pennsylvania and Solite has several locations. One in Upstate New York and one in Virginia.

- And both Keystone and Solite are cement companies?
- Yes.
- That manufacture cement? Q.
- A. Yes, some form of cement, yes.
- Why was Solvents dealing with Keystone and Solite? Did Solvents send particular materials to Keystone and Solite?
- Solvents supplied to either Keystone or Solite a fuel blend that had certain BTU value and it substituted used it in place of fuel oil or coal,
 - What types of things were part of this fuel blend

SANDERS, GALE & RUSSELL Certified Stenotype Reporters

2	

that was sent to Keystone and Solite?

3

A. Nonallogenated hydrocarbons.

•

0. Are these nonallogenated hydrocarbons solvents

5

A. Solvents.

7

Not still bottoms?

-8

A. Wait a minute. Still bottom is a solvent, just a nomenclature. It's a solvent.

10

On The materials that were sent to Keystone and Solite, did Solvents sell those products to those companies or did Solvents pay those companies to take it from them?

11

A. Both ways. One outfit paid us; another outfit

13

we paid them.

14 15

Q Do you know why one company felt it was in their interest to pay for the material while the other company

16 17

had to be paid to take it?

18

A. That's for management of the other companies. I can't answer that.

19

20

O In Exhibit 22 when the fuel program is referred to, what is being referred to, the sending of these fuels

21 22

to Solite and Keystone?

23

A. Yes. The fuels program as I defined before is a blend, a fuel blend and it's shipped to one of these two

2425

SANDERS, GALE & RUSSELL Certified Stenotype Reporters

outfits.

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

Ö	When	did	that	activity	begin,	sending	fuel	to
Keystone	and So	14+6	>	· :				
	area Do.		•					

- A I don't know the exact date. According to this letter, it started soon after this.
- Q It hadn't started to your recollection on July 28, '77?
 - A Excuse me?
- Q It hadn't started by July 28, '77, it was sometime after July 28 when that started?
- A. I can't answer that question. I know it started sometime after this according to the memo but what was done prior, I don't know. I can't answer that. It might have been done prior to different outlets. I don't know.
- Q How long did this fuels program continue? Is it still continuing?
- A. Still is. It's very viable and a program we have now.
 - Q With Keystone and Solite?
 - A. And a couple of others, yes.
- Q I would now like to show you a two-page document consisting of bills from Ace Septic Tank Service and Ace Environmental.

Do you recognize any of these bills?

A. I recognize my initials on there.

		•	1/1
2	Ĝ D	you have any recollection of seeing t	hem?
3	A. N	> .	
4	Ů D	you know what your initials on those	pages
5	signify?		
6	A. A	pproved payment.	
7	Q. T	nat you approved payment?	
8	A. Ye	eah.	
9	Ŏ M	nat service was it that Ace Environment	al and
10	Ace Septic w	ere billing you for?	
11		cording to the bill itself, it was was	te water.
12		s that on all three of the bills includ	:
13	these two page		
14		o, it's not on this one in the back.	
15		cuse me?	
16		nere's no indication of anything typed	on there
17		water, on the bills on the second page	;
18		you know what it was billed for on th	• .
19	page?		
20	A. No		
21		see on the bill on the second page it	indicates
22	it was paid		
23			
24		the lower right hand corner, it talks	SADOUT
	sludge bulk		N. K. W.
25	∥ . : De	you know what that signifies?	*, *, *

3	
4	
5	

7

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

A. This is -- we have cost centers to charge out the different monies we pay out and that's the cost center -that we were charged for removal of waste water, sludge and so on.

- Something that comes under the sludge bulk removal account, could be either sludge still bottoms or waste water?
 - A. Yes.
- After July 28, 1977, in what capacity was Ace used by Solvents?
 - A, What capacity? What was that date you gave me?
- July 28, 1977, the date on the previous document where it indicates that Solvents had been cut off by Ace.
- A. I would say waste water according to the indication on these bills.
- How did Solvents come to do business with Ace after the cutoff on July 28. '77?
 - I can't answer that. I have no idea.

Ace had a health concern up there and contacted periodically with our plant people.

- Do you know if Ace received a 25-54hh Permit after July 28, 177?
 - I do not know.
 - Do you know if there was a period of time after

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

July 28, 1977 in which Solvents had no dealings with Ace?

- A. No official dealings with Ace?
- 0. Right.
- A All I can assume, for a year we had none at all according to the document you showed me and went from '77 to '78, so about a year.
- Q Is that an assumption you're making or is that your recollection?
 - A. No, an assumption.
 - From looking at these documents?
 - A. Yes.
- Q Going back to the period around July 28, 1977 after Mr. O'Connell said you were cut off by Ace, do you remember if you stopped dealing with Ace right at that time?
 - A. I would say we did.
- At the times of these later bills in '78, do you know where Ace was bringing the material they picked up from you?
 - A. No.
- Q Do you know whether any of Solvents material went to the Town of Bristol after July 28, '77?
 - A I have no idea.
- Q When I was reviewing some of these documents,
 I saw that some of the earlier bills, the bills prior to

SANDERS, GALE & RUSSELL Certified Stenotype Reporters

July of '77, that attached to the bills were Solvents Recovery Service's bills of lading.

I don't see those in the later bills, like the ones
I have just showed you.

Do you know if Solvents Recovery Service stopped using bills of lading after that?

- A. No. Our procedures have not changed.
- Q You wouldn't know why I didn't have bills of lading attached?
 - A No, I can't answer that.
- Q I want to introduce a document dated August 2nd,
 '77 from Mr. Marini to Mr. O'Connell. It's labeled "Status
 of Disposal Problem Discussed with JPOC on 7/28/77."

(Plaintiff's Exhibit 24 for identification: A two-page memo dated August 2, 1977 from Mr. Marini to Mr. O'Connell entitled "Status of Disposal Problem Discussed with JPOC on 7/23/77.")

BY MR. BLUMSTEIN:

20

21

22

23

25

- Mave you had a chance to take a look at that?
- A. Yes.
 - Did you write this memo?
- A. Yes, I did.
 - What is the disposal problem that's referred to in

SANDERS, GALE & RUSSELL Certified Stenotype Reporters

5

9

8

10

12

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

22

24

25

the title?

The only thing I can recall is it's pertaining to another memo about the same time we were cut off from Ace. Pertaining to the memo that Bown just wrote.

- Q. Which was Exhibit 20?
- I'm not sure.

(Handed document) Yes, I would say that was it.

- So, the disposal problem resulted from the fact that you were cut off by Ace?
- A. The disposal problem occurred due to the fact that we -- yes, that we were cut off by Ace, yes.
 - Did Ace inform you that they were cutting you off?
- I don't recall. They didn't inform me personally. Somehow John O'Connell got word back from the STate or some According to John's letter, that's where he got the information from.
- It's possible that Solvents didn't learn of the cutoff directly from Ace?
- Anything's possible. I didn't hear myself, but anything's possible.
- In the first paragraph, in the last sentence, it says, "Major portion of the chlorinateds will have to be disposed elsewhere (Ace Environmental or Liquid Engery).

20

21

22

23

24

25

Were you still contemplating the use of Ace even after the cutoff?

- A. It would seem that, according to that memo. I don't recall it, but it would seem that.
 - O Do you recall why you still considered Ace?
 - A. No.
 - Or in what context you considered using Ace?
 - A. No, I have no idea.
- Q The chlorinateds that you referred to in that sentence were things that couldn't go to the fuels program?
 - A. Correct.
 - So they would have to be disposed of somewhere?
- A. Yes.
- But you indicated also in that paragraph, "We will blend the chlorinated solvents and alcohol in such a manner as not to jeopardize heating value."

What does that mean?

- A. That means we blend -- the composition is such that we can still send the material to the cement plant and not jeopardize the quality of the material.
 - O. So they might contain some amount of cholorinateds
 - A. Yes, that's allowable.
- Q. But too many chlorinateds, it wouldn't have its value?

6

8

9 .

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2324

25

A. There is certain specifications you have to meet for the fuel blends, one, the BTU and the other is free chlorine.

MR. CAREY: Excuse me for a moment.

In the four line of paragraph 1 --

THE WITNESS: Right.

MR. CAREY: -- the word heating valve appears. Is that a misprint?

THE WITNESS: Yes. It should be value.

BY MR. BLUMSTEIN:

- Q On August 2nd, 1977, you were still generating chlorinateds that couldn't go in the fuels program?
- A. No. We still had a certain amount of chlorinateds in the plant that would have to be eventually blended in the fuels program, but -- there is a small quantity you can blend at any one time. I can't blend a large quantity.
- 0. What did you mean by major portion of the chlorinateds would have to be disposed elsewhere?
- A. I don't know the intent of that statement. I don't recall what I meant at that time.
 - Q Who was Liquid Energy?
- A. I don't know. I can't remember at all. I don't remember even dealing with them. In fact, I was going to ask you. You have all my documents. I don't remember why

10

11

13

12

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

.23

24

25

I put them in there.

I don't remember.

MR. CAREY:

I object to the form of

the question.

MR. BLUMSTEIN: Let's go on.

BY MR. BLUMSTEIN:

- Let me take a look at paragraph 4 and 5.
- Okay.
- You talk about sending out -- sending certain waters, clean waters and salt water to landfills in New Jersey and Connecticut.

First off, where did the clean water come from?

I think I explained it to you before. When you A. flash material, overhead water will come over and some portion of the time it's not soluable in a solvent and you have two phases, free water and solvent.

The free water which was the clean water is decanted off and pumped back to the cooling tower which I indicated to you before.

- And the salt water?
- Salt water is the water that's generated from removing the water that's soluable in the solvent. Dry it with calcium chloride which we call salt water.
 - In this memo, you're saying that "Other waters

24

25

•	will be sent	to landfill in New Jersey or Connecti	cut."
3	0:	those waters, they have some amount	other
4	than clean wa	aters then?	
5	A. Ye	es.	
6	Q. Do	they have some amount of solvent in	them?
7	A. Ye	es, yes.	
8	ð M	nat's the landfill in New Jersey or Co	nnecticut
9	you're talkir	ng about?	· :
10	A. I	don't understand what I meant there,	but that
11	water went to	New Jersey and was treated in New Je	rsey.
12	Q. 973:	ere in New Jersey?	
13	A. Ir	our Linden facility.	
14	g TH	ne same with the salt water?	
15	A. Ye	es.	
16	ù sc	, you don't know what the landfill in	
17	Connecticut s	ould have been?	
18	A. No).	
19	Q Th	e next document I'd like to show you	is dated
20	November 9, 1	.977 and it's a letter from Bill Heneg	er to
21	John P. O'Con	nell.	
22	(F	Plaintiff's Exhibit 25 for identifi-	
23		ation: a two-page letter dated	

SANDERS, GALE & RUSSELL Certified Stenotype Reporters

November 9, 1977 from William D.

Hegener to John P. O'Connell.)

\sim	
•	

Э

6

7

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And has some activity continued with him?

A. Yes, it has.

Are you unfamiliar with the fact that the State informed Mr. O'Connell that Mr. Angelillo didn't have a 25-54hh Permit?

- A I'm not familiar with that.
- Q You're familiar with --
- A. Start all over again.
- Okay. I think it was a little confusing.

If you look at the first page of Exhibit 25, second sentence, "You will note that Mr. Angelillo does not have a 25-54hh Permit for the transportation of and disposal of chemical liquids and that he collected some of the barrels and chemicals at your facility."

On November 9, 1977, did you understand that Mr. Angelillo did not have a 25-54hh permit?

- A. On November 9 I doubt it. Possibly when I got the copy of this memo I was aware of it. I doubt I got the memo on November 9. You're asking me on November 9, the date this letter was written. I was not aware of it. Once I got a copy of the memo, I was aware of it.
- Q Do you feel that at some time, not too long after November 9, you became aware of that, that he didn't have a State permit?

When did you start using Nuco?

Including chlorinateds?

a

A.

Yes.

23

24

25

SANDERS, GALE & RUSSELL Certified Stenotype Reporters

MS. PERCELL:

25

664 PROSPECT AVENUE

HARTFORD, CONNECTICUT

Let me interject that I

5

Ŭ

/

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

24

haven't raised any objections to this very lenghty line of questioning, but I'm hoping to get back to some things that is distantly related to the lawsuit. I find it difficult to imagine how the use of a particular company in the last year for shipments of Solv Fuel could be connected with the issues underlying in this lawsuit.

I'm expressing a hope that you'll go back to some relevant --

MR. BLUMSTEIN: Only in the last question did we talk about the company that was used as recently as last year.

BY MR. BLUMSTEIN:

O I would like to introduce another document, six pages of handwritten notes. Four of the pages appear to be notes to Uly and one is a note from Uly to MHS.

(Plaintiff's Exhibit 26 for identification: a six-page handwritten document.)

- Do you recognize these notes?
- No.
- Mow about the third and fourth pages of this -
- Mhich one is that?
- O The third and fourth where it says "From the

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2 desk of --

A.	I	should	recognize	that.	That's	my	handwriting.
----	---	--------	-----------	-------	--------	----	--------------

- Q Who's MHS?
- A. Myron H. Smith.
- 0 But you don't remember these letters at all?
- A. No, I don't.

Mould you look at the second page at the very bottom, number 3. It says, "Disposal business, which generates partially filled #3 drums is of considerable value to us."

Now, making the assuming these were written, all three of these were written in March of '80 -- and I'm making that assumption as a result of the third page where it says March 8, 1980. Would you agree with that assumption?

MS. PERCELL: I haven't had the time to completely read those, but I can't see where you reached a conclusion that they were related.

MR. BLUMSTEIN: When you do read them, it appears as though the second two pages are responding to the first two and --

MS. PERCELL: The only thing we know is the author of the first one and the first name is Mike.

The only thing about the second one is

SANDERS, GALE & RUSSELL Certified Stenotype Reporters

25

664 PROSPECT AVENUE HARTFORD, CONNECTICUT

25

Yes.

SANDERS, GALE & RUSSELL Certified Stenotype Reporters

664 PROSPECT AVENUE HARTFORD, CONNECTICUT

was?

141 CHURCH STREET NEW HAVEN, CONNECTICUT

٠,	
•	

a And what was that?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. The same understanding as I mentioned to you before. Business brought in from different outlets or from different companies that were brought in for disposal. The company did not want the material returned back to them.

We brought it in, removed it from their plant and brought it in our plant and determined at that time what we would do with it, what would be the final disposition.

- Q Oh, at that time the disposal business did make up a part of your business?
 - A. No question.
 - 0. What is a number 3 drum?
- A number 3 drum is a drum that contains nonpumpable residue and in excessive of one-third of the drum.
- Q. Have you ever heard of Solvents Recovery having disposed of some of its waste from Southington on a piece of property called the Cianci property?
 - A. No.
 - You never heard of it?
- A Wait a minute. Hold it. You asked me if I ever heard of Solvents disposing on Cianci property and I said no, I never heard of that.
- Q How about a piece of property called the Marek property?

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. No.

- Q How about a piece of property called Flanders Green Apartment Complex?
 - A. The what?
 - Q Flanders --
 - A. No, no.
- O Do you know if Solvents Recovery ever disposed of any waste on the banks of the Quinnipiac River?
 - A. To my knowledge, never.

MR. BLUMSTEIN: I don't have any other questions.

MR. CAREY: Off the record.

(DISCUSSION OFF THE RECORD.)

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. MILLSTONE:

Q Let me just direct your attention to Exhibit 26 from a variety of people and one is addressed to you dated 3/10 and discusses the issue -- at the bottom of this page -- of the disposal of vinyl still bottoms.

What are vinyl still bottoms?

A. They are still bottoms, such we cannot use in the fuels program. When blended together, they react and they form a stringy-type solid-type of material, so we cannot use them.

SANDERS, GALE & RUSSELL, Certified Stenotype Reporters

		189
Q.	Do those contain vinyl chlorides -	• 100-
A.	It could.	in a new difference
Ü	What's done with those?	
A.	At this particular time?	
Q	At the time of the writing of thes	ie?
A.	They were sent to Cecos or at that	: time, Nuco
or Cecos	in Niagara Falls.	
Ó.	And before that?	
A.	Before that?	
Q.	Before they were sent to Nuco.	
A.	A couple of years before that, the	y were sent to
Nuco also	•	
Ø.	All during the time that Solvents	Recovery Service
of New En	gland handled vinyl still bottoms, t	hey were sent
Nuco?		
A.	From day one?	
O.	Yes.	
A.	No, not from day one.	s waste
<u> </u>	When you first came to work there,	what was done
with the	vinyl still bottoms?	
A.	They were shipped to Ace.	
Q.	Do you remember when you began shi	pping the viny
bottoms t	Nuco?	
DOCCOMS C	T have no idea. Just he a muess	7 3 14

5

7

8

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

A couple, three years ago I would say at least.

- Three years would be 1979.
- Like I say, it's speculation. It's a guess. couple, three years ago.
- Directing your attention to Exhibit Number 19 on the second page, just as an example, the Uniform Straight Bill of Lading original, was it the practice of Solvents Recovery Service to use bills of lading such as this when shipping materials to customers?
- Our procedure was when anything left the plant, a bill of lading would accompany it, to answer your question, yes.
- So, shipping to a customer, the trucker would get a bill of lading?
 - Yes.
 - 0. And you would keep a copy of that?
 - A. Yes.
- Directing your attention to Exhibit 18, and to the map which had been previously introduced as Exhibit 3, I believe -- Exhibit 2 -- I stand corrected -- could you indicate or could you tell me where on the map the sludge dike is.
- It says here on number 3 -- where is the sludge dike?

- A. It's H on the map.
- Where's the sludge dike?
- It's a dike around that sludge tank. There's indication of the tank right there (indicating).
- Was it a large big wall?
- It's a dike wall.
- What's it made of?
- Concrete, concrete wall and concrete floor.
- And this sludge dike entirely surrounders this
- circular tank?
 - Yes.
- Where are the freshwater and waste water stored while awaiting pickup?
- We had a tank up in the storage tank farm area, up here in this area (indicating). Tank number 8, which looking at this, I can't tell you which was tank number 8

but one of the ones on this side (indicating).

MR. MILLSTONE: May the record reflect the witness is referring to an area marked on Exhibit 2 as "I".

BY MR. MILLSTONE:

22

23

Were, then, both the free water and the waste water stored together?

24

25

The free water as we put it in here (indicating)

1		
2		
3		1
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12	- li	
13		
4		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		

21

22

23

24

25

was then pumped the free water decanted off in t	his room
r ight here (indicating). There's no letter on this	room
here. It's indicated on the drawing "existing buil	ding"
and that free water is then pumped to the cooling to	ower
which sits on top of that building.	

- Q And then what happens to that free water?
- A It's used to cool our heat exchanger. It's a closed system.
- Q Where were the still bottoms and sludge stored for Ace to pick up?

MS. PERCELL: Can you give some indication of a period of time on that.

BY MR. MILLSTONE:

Q Well, prior to '77 I guess. I'm curious about prior to the time that Ace was in some sense, was sent to Coventry, prior to July 28, '77 when Ace was picking sludge and still bottom.

Where were you putting them?

- A. In drums and then -- or a tank truck. You said prior to '77?
 - Q Up to July 28, '77.
 - A. Right.
 - Q Where were you storing the still bottoms?
 - A. In drums.

SANDERS, GALE & RUSSELL Certified Stenotype Reporters

what your question is.

664 PROSPECT AVENUE HARTFORD, CONNECTICUT

25

141 CHURCH STREET NEW HAVEN. CONNECTICUT

3

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

BY MR. MILLSTONE:

I'm curious as to what has been your experiences with fires or explosions at Connecticut or New Jersey plants.

> MS. PERCELL: I'll object and instruct the witness not to answer any questions related to the New Jersey plant.

> > MR. MILLSTONE: On what grounds?

MS. PERCELL: Relevancy. It has doing to do with the lawsuit.

> MR. CAREY: Is it privileged?

MS. PERCELL: We might clarify that question further as to privilege. I don't see any relevance to this line of questioning whatsoever.

Let me clarify on the record that as I understand this lawsuit, it's a lawsuit involving groundwater pollution located in the State of Connecticut in one particular facility and I cannot conceive of the relevance of experience with or the occurrence of fires in another state to this lawsuit.

And on that ground, I instruct the witness not to answer any questions relating to the New Jersey facility.

MR. MILLSTONE: I would like to get an

SANDERS, GALE & RUSSELL Certified Stenotype Reporters

664 PROSPECT AVENUE HARTFORD, CONNECTICUT

141 CHURCH STREET NEW HAVEN, CONNECTICUT

17

2

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

BY MR. MILLSTONE:

Directing your attention to item 78-1--

Excuse me

The recommendations on Exhibit 16. At the time these recommendations were made, had you or anyone connected

> SANDERS, GALE & RUSSELL Certified Stenotype Reporters

664 PROSPECT AVENUE HARTFORD, CONNECTICUT

141 CHURCH STREET NEW HAVEN, CONNECTICUT

5

7

10

11

12

13 . 14

1'5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

with the company, to your knowledge, have experience with fires or any similar sorts of occurrences in the course of your business?

Since the time --

MS. PERCELL: I'm sorry. I wasn't listening.

Could you read back that question. (The question referred to was read.)

MS. PERCELL: Let me direct the witness to limit his question in accordance with my prior objection to the Connecticut facility.

MR. MILLSTONE: But the question is not related to the Connecticut facility. related to the experience of the management of the company.

MS. PERCELL: We haven't even established the foundation for this document since it was something the witness didn't recognize.

On its face, it appears to be recommendations made by a certain individual, but we don't even know that to be a fact. we're going awfully far afield here.

MR. MILLSTONE: Let me withdraw that

2

3

4

5

6

_

Q

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

line of questioning in the fact of the persistent reluctance to gain such testimony that I'm looking for.

BY MR. MILLSTONE:

1 You stated earlier that in the period immediately in and around the inspection by the State of Connecticut by Mr. Parker on June 2nd, 1977 that drums were stored throughout the plant.

Is that correct?

- A This pertains to Exhibit number 13?
- Q I believe in connection with the testimony about the inspection by the State of Connecticut and meetings thereafter.

You testified a few hours ago that drums were stored at that time throughout the plant, is that correct?

- A. I recall I did say that, yes.
- And they are stored throughout the plant?
- A. Yes.
- And when you say that, could you clarify, referring to Exhibit 2, where you mean they were stored?
- A Okay, G, C, up the concrete pavement -- there's no letter on it, on the right side -- G toward this way (indicating). I don't know which is north or south, but on the right hand side there were drums.

You said as of this date or prior to this date?

SANDERS, GALE & RUSSELL Certified Stenotype Reporters

141 CHURCH STREET
NEW HAVEN, GONNECTICUT

664 PROSPECT AVENUE HARTFORD, CONNECTICUT

covered?

١.	0006390
2	Q. As of that date and prior.
3	A. And prior?
4	Q. Yes, and prior.
5	A. In the area where it says crushed stone, there
6	some there also. A.
7	0. In the area north I don't know north but
8	again in the area above where it says "concrete pavement"
9	Exhibit 2 where the drums are stored, is that area covered
10	A. Covered?
11	0. Covered.
12	A. No. Sorry, you mean the whole area
13	Q I'm asking that. Was it covered?
14	A. No. Open.
15	0. The drums were out in the open?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q Were the drums stored on the ground there, that
18	is, were they sitting on the ground?
19	A. Sitting on the concrete in this area. Sitting
20	on the ground in Section C and sitting on the ground in
21	Section A as I indicated (indicating).
22	0. You testified further in response to questions,
23	that in your view the use of curbing that you saw no
24	point from your point of view, in the curbing because

you would only have to dispose of the excess water?

25

つ	
4	

A I did say that, yes.

3

And therefore, from your point of view, it was a more satisfactory arrangement to not have to dispose of the excess water, is that correct?

7

8

5

My point of view was such that I felt it was not necessary to curb the whole plant, probably due to the fact that drums were now being stored on concrete. If a leak did occur, it would be seen immediately.

9

Procedures had been set out and documented and sent to the State of Connecticut of inspections of being held once a shift, minimum, by shift leaders on the shift, checking drums and so on. Drums that were properly stored and

11

13

managed, there would be no problem.

© But the storm water, the excess water that you were talking about, would go where? Where would that excess water

14

go without the curbing? Where did the water go without the

17

16

curbing?

19

18

A. Off the plant site.

20

Q Where?

21

A Either north, west, east, where it overflowed.

Some toward the railroad tracks.

22

23

Q Directing your attention to -- was the water from the well ever used for drinking water?

24

25

I can't answer that question. I don't know if it

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q You don't know if it had ever been used for drinking water?

- A. I can't answer that. I don't know.
- Q During your period of working for Solvents
 Recovery, have you ever known of it being used for drinking
 water?
 - A. Never.

MR. MILLSTONE: I have no further questions.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. CAREY:

Q Mr. Marini, I am Austin Carey from Hartford and I represent the Connecticut Fund for the Environment and some other individuals who have intervened in this lawsuit by the United States.

I believe you testified earlier that you make regular trips to Connecticut and that such trips occur approximately once a week?

- A. I try. Around the holidays, in a case like that, I try to once a week.
- Q Since you assumed your present duties in approximately '76, --
 - A. I assumed -- I started with the company in '76.

23

24

25

I wasn't given my present position until, I think, February or March of '77.

- Q But since that time, you had been making weekly visits?
 - A. Close.
 - Q And from time to time you prepare inspection reports?
 - A. Yes.
 - 0 On the average, how frequently did you prepare such reports?
- A Let's put it this way. I do inspect the plant once every time I'm up there. But only infrequently do I actually prepare a written document on the inspection.
 - Q Once a month?
 - A. That's a good number. I don't know.
 - Q Could be once a month?
- A. Could be.
 - Q What did you do with that written report?
 - A. I issued it to the plant manager which at that time was Al Tatro.
 - Q You send him a copy?
 - A. Yes, and cc to my immediate supervisor.
 - Q And who's that?
- A. At the beginning of my tour, John O'Connell. Now,

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Who else gets a copy?

A. And myself.

Q Anyone else?

A. No one else except myself and Jim Hulm and the plant manager.

Q Referring to Exhibit 16 which you testified previously you haven't seen specifically, but on the second page, item labeled 76-4 --

A. Right.

o. — the third sentence of that paragraph is enclosed in parenthesis and reads as follows: "Many tanks have been mounted on temporary supports, some of which have already subsided into the soil, and many of these tanks are now resting directly on/or down into the earth."

This document is dated March 15, 1978 and now, based upon your weekly visits to the plant during that period, is that a fair statement of the conditions that you listed?

A. Yes.

Q. As of or about that date?

A. Yes.

Q I'm referring now to Exhibit 20. The address on the letterhead of the State of Connecticut, Department

3

5

7

9

10

12

13

14

15

17

16

18

19

21

20

2223

24

25

of Environmental Protection reads Solv, Inc.

Are you familiar with a corporation or business entity having that precise name?

A No. I hope that's a misnomer or a name we had used in the past, but I'm not familiar with that. Ever since I've been involved with the company, it's been Solvents Recovery Service of New England.

- Q What's the precise name of the business entity for which you work?
 - A. I need a little more clarification.
 - Q You're employed currently, are you not?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q Since '76 you have been so employed?
 - A. Yes.
- Q And have you been employed by the same business during all of those years?
 - A. Yes.
- 0 Have you ever been employed by any other business --
 - A. No.
 - Q -- during that period of time?
- Okay. What's the name of the company which has employed you from '76 to the date of this deposition, the precise name or as near as --

2	A On my paycheck? Solvents Recovery Service of
3	New Jersey.
4	Q Do you have an employment contract of any kind, a
5	written contract?
6	A. Yes.
7	9 Have you had a written employment contract from
8	'76 to the present?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q And is that contract with Solvents Recovery
11	Service of New Jersey?
12	A I can't answer that. I'd have to go home and
13	check that.
14	Q I understand.
15	In connection with your income tax return that
16	you're unpleasantly faced with every year, do you know what
17	I mean by W-2 Form?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q Are you furnished such a form annually
20	A. Yes.
21	Q by your employer?
22	How many forms do you receive, how many W-2's?
23	I'm not talking copies, I'm talking about the originals.
24	A. One.
25	Q Do you keep track of the amount of time that you

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

spend working on matters pertaining to the New England plant?

- A. No.
 - O Do you know of anybody who does?
- A I don't think there's anybody in the plant that does.
- Q. Referring to Exhibit 22. The first line of that memorandum reads: "Effective immediately, no disposal business will be taken into New England without my approval."

Prior to that time, to your knowledge, had disposal business been taken into New England?

- A. Oh, yes.
- And from where did that disposal business originate?
- A. Several generators. I can't answer that.
- More specifically, did it originate from your New Jersey plant?
 - A. No.
- O Did any disposal business at any time involve material transported from New Jersey to New England, to your knowledge?
 - A I would say yes.
 - Q When was that?
 - A Maybe three, four years ago.
 - 0. What sort of disposal was involved?
 - A It was on the same type as still bottom residue,

3

4

7

J

6

7

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A there was that make it is

- Where was that material generated?
- A. At New Jersey.
- Q At the New Jersey plant?
- A Yes, sir.

something like that.

- Why was it not disposed of locally?
- A. I can't answer that. I'd have to assume at that time no outlet was available.
- O Do you have any reason to believe there might not have been an outlet available?
 - A I cannot recall, just an assumption on my part.
- O Okay. Referring to Exhibit 25, just as an aid, within that document there is reference to a permit, I believe a 25-54hh Permit?
 - A. Yes.
- o Please tell me what, if any, procedures were established by Solvents Recovery to insure that the contractors such as Ace, to whom it gives its disposal products, were properly licensed by whatever governmental or health authorities were concerned?
- A At that time, there was no form of procedure.

 More of an informal-type of procedure such that the hauler involved indicated that he was approved. That's as far as it went.

2	Q You never asked for a permit?	-
3	A. No.	
4	Ω Never asked to see his license?	
5	A I take that back. One time we did get	we
6	requested from someone a permit and we did see one.	
7	Q When was that?	
8	A. Sometime in, maybe, '77, something like the	hat.
9	After the date of the letter from the Dep	artment
10	of Environmental Protection?	
11	A. It was not this individual.	
12	0. After that letter?	
13	A. I can't say.	s, s
14	Q Might have been after that letter?	
15	A. I can't say.	
16	MR. CAREY: I have nothing f	urther.
17	CROSS-EXAMINATION	
18	BY MR. KELLEY:	
19	On Exhibit Number 26, can you identify who	o Vernor
20	is? On page 1 of that exhibit in the second numbered	d
21	paragraph, Vernon and Eastern Lacquer. Can you iden	tify
22	either of those companies by a more formal name?	
23	A. Eastern Lacquer and Vernon. I think Verno	on
24	Plastics.	
25	0 And where are they from, do you how?	

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

A. It would be a guess on my part. I think
Massachusetts, but I'm not sure.

Q On the last page of that same exhibit, in the paragraph again numbered number 2, can you tell us what, if you know, the second line, it says, AUT, means?

- A. AVT.
- Q Well, if it is AVT, what does that mean?
- A. Initials of the plant manager of the New England plant at that tim.
 - a Tatro?
 - A. Yes.
- 0 In that same numbered paragraph, there's a last name.
 - A. Berucko.
 - Q Who's that?
 - A. Salesman.
 - 0. And he works for Solvents?
 - A. Yes.

O Going back to Exhibit number 19 which is a series of bills of lading from Ace Environmental. If you would, could you look at those, the first, second and third and identify I.E.I. and that's underlined twice. Do you see that?

A. Okay.

24

25

2	Q Can you identify what that means?
3	A I cannot. I think I stated to the gentleman here
4	before I'm ignorant. I have no idea what that stands for.
5	Q Earlier you referred to a drum number 3 and
6	identified that as a number 3 type of drum?
7	A. Yes.
8	0 Okay. And also I think it's in Exhibit number
9	26, there's a reference to a number 2 drum.
10	Could you explain what that means?
11	A It's a description of the drum that contains
12	nonpumpable residue. It affects your pricing structure.
13	If number 2, it's a drum that contains non-
14	pumpable residue, and less than one-third of the volume.
15	In number 3, greater than one-third.
16	0 Earlier you also talked about water in a flashing
17	procedure. You used that term a couple of times.
18	Could you explain that to us?
19	A Our description of our process is a it's a
20	description of our process, such as a bat still operation.
21	You put material into a pot, we'll say, and you like boil
22	its like you're boiling water and the recoverable materia
23	flashes over and then it's condensed in a liquid and the
24	free water, if any, is also flashed over.
25	O Does that happen at the same time?

. 23

A Just as you boil water. You get vapors coming and then you condense to a liquid and the free water is decanted off and the water left, that's soluable and the solvent is dried with either calcium chloride or something like that.

MR. KELLEY: I have no further questions.

MS. PERCELL: I have no questions.

(Whereupon, this deposition was concluded at 1:30 p.m.)

SANDERS, GALE & RUSSELL Certified Stenotype Reporters

664 PROSPECT AVENUE HARTFORD, CONNECTICUT

141 CHURCH STREET
NEW HAVEN, CONNECTICUT

Mr. Blumstein

Mr. Millstone

Mr. Carey

Mr. Kelley

SANDERS, GALE & RUSSELL Certified Stenotype Reporters

WITNESS

Direct

INDEX

Cross

664 PROSPECT AVENUE HARTFORD, CONNECTICUT

141 CHURCH STREET
NEW HAVEN, CONNECTICUT

SANDERS, GALE & RUSSELL Certified Stenotype Reporters

664 PROSPECT AVENUE HARTFORD, CONNECTICUT

141 CHURCH STREET
NEW HAVEN, CONNECTICUT

21

22

23

24